Rubric for Debate

| Student ID |
|------------|
|------------|

BCom Learning Goal 2

Communication Skills: Graduates can communicate complex ideas effectively and accurately in a range of contexts.

BCom Learning Objective 2c

Deliver professional quality presentations using appropriate technology.

| Trait | Exemplary | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory | E/S/U |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|-------|
| Audience engagement | Audience fully engaged; Invokes response from opposition; Argument conveyed with high level of persuasion; Argument conveyed with highly authoritative and convincing manner. | Audience mostly engaged; Invokes some discussion; Argument conveyed with some persuasion; Argument conveyed with suitably authoritative and convincing manner. | Audience not engaged; Inability to invoke discussion; Argument conveyed with minimal persuasion; Argument not conveyed in an authoritative or convincing manner. | |
| Organisation | Well-structured argument; Message is very clear; Excellent use of time; Extremely well prepared. | Partially structured argument;Message is mostly clear;Keeps almost to time;Well prepared. | Well-structured argument; Message is very clear; Excellent use of time; Extremely well prepared. | |
| Delivery | Smooth, effective, articulate; Excellent use of language; Good voice control; Appropriate eye contact; Appears relaxed; Speaks without notes. | Somewhat effective, reasonably articulate; Good/appropriate use of language; Okay voice control; Mostly appropriate eye contact; Appears mostly relaxed; Uses notes occasionally. | Ineffective or poorly articulate; Incorrect/inappropriate use of language, filler words, verbose; Problems with voice control; Inappropriate or no eye contact; Appears tense, nervous; Reads and/or relies heavily on notes. | |
| Debating skills | Highly effective use of rhetorical devices, irony, satire, humour, timing; Considerable evidence of "thinking on feet"; Highly effective use of counter argument; Highly effective summing up; Argument conveyed in a manner which is persuasive, authoritative and convincing. | Good/effective use of rhetorical devices, irony, satire, humour, timing; Some evidence of "thinking on feet"; Some use of counter argument; Adequate summing up; Argument conveyed in a manner which is somewhat persuasive, authoritative and/or convincing. | Poor/ineffective use of rhetorical devices, irony, satire, humour, timing; No evidence of "thinking on feet"; No use of counter argument; Minimal or no summing up; Argument conveyed in a manner which is not persuasive, authoritative or convincing. | |
| Visual aids (quality and use) | Excellent visual aids;Seamless use of visual aids. | Appropriate visual aids;Appropriate use of visual aids. | Poor quality visual aids;Inept use of visual aids. | |
| Holistic judgement | Excellent debating skills for students at this level. | Satisfactory debating skills for students at this level. | Unsatisfactory debating skills for students at this level. | |