

[JOHN PREBBLE, EDITOR](#)

Professor of Law, Victoria University of Wellington - Faculty of Law,
Gastprofessor, Institut für Österreichisches und Internationales
Steuerrecht, Wirtschaftsuniversität Wien, Adjunct Professor of Law,
University of Notre Dame Australia - School of Law
john.prebble@vuw.ac.nz

[HANNEKE VAN OEVEREN, ASSISTANT EDITOR](#)

Victoria University of Wellington - Faculty of Law
hanneke.vanoeveren@outlook.co.nz

[Browse ALL abstracts for this journal](#)

Links: [Subscribe ~ Unsubscribe](#) | [Distribution](#) | [Network Directors](#) | [Submit ~ Revise Your Papers](#)

Announcements

Special Issue: Papers about the Treaty of Waitangi and the Claims Settlement Process by Mamari Stephens, Carwyn Jones, and Julian Ludbrook

Table of Contents

[Kaumātua, Leadership and the Treaty of Waitangi Claims Settlement Process; Some Data and Observations](#)

[Māmari Stephens](#), Victoria University of Wellington

[Settlement Negotiations Measured Against the Treaty of Waitangi](#)

[Carwyn Jones](#), Victoria University of Wellington - Faculty of Law

[The Principles of the Treaty of Waitangi: Their Nature, Their Limits and Their Future](#)

[Julian Ludbrook](#), Independent

[Procedure in the Waitangi Tribunal after Haronga](#)

[Carwyn Jones](#), Victoria University of Wellington - Faculty of Law

[Tūhoe-Crown Settlement – Tūhoe Claims Settlement Act 2014; Te Urewera Report of the Waitangi Tribunal](#)

[Carwyn Jones](#), Victoria University of Wellington - Faculty of Law

['To Work Out Their Own Salvation': Māori Constitutionalism and the Quest for Welfare](#)

[Māmari Stephens](#), Victoria University of Wellington

[^top](#)

["Kaumātua, Leadership and the Treaty of Waitangi Claims Settlement Process; Some Data and Observations" !\[\]\(50ba758255c5d7cec2761495a31c7c80_img.jpg\)](#)
[Victoria University of Wellington Legal Research Paper No. 115/2015](#)

[MĀMARI STEPHENS](#), Victoria University of Wellington
Email: mamari.stephens@vuw.ac.nz

This article presents the results of a survey dealing with the role of Kaumātua in Treaty of Waitangi Claims. The author raises a number of concerns – most importantly whether enough older Māori feel confident or knowledgeable enough to contribute to the process. The survey also reveals that tensions exist from the exclusion of younger Māori from the process.

["Settlement Negotiations Measured Against the Treaty of Waitangi" !\[\]\(99f58673407353e96a019fbca558fd72_img.jpg\)](#)
(2012) August Māori LR 11.
[Victoria University of Wellington Legal Research Paper No. 116/2015](#)

[CARWYN JONES](#), Victoria University of Wellington - Faculty of Law
Email: carwyn.jones@vuw.ac.nz

On 2 August 2012, the Waitangi Tribunal issued The Port Nicholson Block Urgency Report. This report concerned the claim that the Crown had breached undertakings that they had made to Taranaki Whānui, in respect of commercial properties being offered to other iwi around the Port Nicholson area. Their claim was partially upheld in the Tribunal, which found that the Crown had breached their undertaking to not offer property to Ngāti Toa in the Wellington CBD. The Waitangi Tribunal noted that they were permitted to examine pre-settlement negotiations despite the existence of an "entire agreement" clause, as no party may contract out of their Treaty obligations. Finally, it raised concerns about silo risks between different negotiating parties.

["The Principles of the Treaty of Waitangi: Their Nature, Their Limits and Their Future" !\[\]\(a870788d6ed9b8fd294b7654a8c8526b_img.jpg\)](#)
[Victoria University of Wellington Legal Research Paper Series No. 117/2015](#)

[JULIAN LUDBROOK](#), Independent
Email: monowai3@gmail.com

"The "principles" of the Treaty of Waitangi have in the last 40 years become a key measure by which Crown actions affecting Maori have been judged, having been introduced by Government into a wide range of statutes to provide the courts with the means, when asked, to assess whether those actions are consistent with those "principles." The "principles" were also adopted by Government to encourage an approach which looked more, in assessing Crown actions, to the spirit and intent of the Treaty than to its literal words. This paper therefore examines the way in which Government, the courts and the Waitangi Tribunal have articulated and applied the "principles" and how their decisions sit with the terms of the Treaty itself and with what, adopting a broad and liberal interpretation with particular focus on the Maori text, the signatories of the Treaty can reasonably be thought to have contemplated. It also looks at the principles-based approach and, as Government looks to less reliance in future on this approach and instead greater use of specific terms in statutes setting out the Crown's particular obligations relevant to that statute, what the benefits of its "principles-based approach have been – and can continue to be."

["Procedure in the Waitangi Tribunal after Haronga" !\[\]\(6059a5aa8b4ca7bb793408023d6c6e42_img.jpg\)](#)
Māori Law Review, pp. 20-28, June 2013
[Victoria University of Wellington Legal Research Paper No. 118/2015](#)

[CARWYN JONES](#), Victoria University of Wellington - Faculty of Law
Email: carwyn.jones@vuw.ac.nz

The New Zealand Supreme Court's 2011 decision in *Haronga v Waitangi Tribunal* [2011] NZSC 53 overturned the Waitangi Tribunal's decision to not grant an urgent hearing sought by Alan Haronga (on behalf of the shareholders of the Mangatū Incorporation) to address remedies for Treaty breaches in relation to the Mangatū Forest. The decision had potentially significant implications for Waitangi Tribunal procedure, particularly the way that the Tribunal decides applications for urgent hearings. This article considers how the Waitangi Tribunal has applied Haronga.

["Tūhoe-Crown Settlement – Tūhoe Claims Settlement Act 2014; Te Urewera Report of the Waitangi Tribunal"](#) 

Māori Law Review, pp. 13-15, October 2014

[Victoria University of Wellington Legal Research Paper No. 119/2015](#)

[CARWYN JONES](#), Victoria University of Wellington - Faculty of Law
Email: carwyn.jones@vuw.ac.nz

Dr Carwyn Jones describes the main elements of both the Tūhoe Claims Settlement Act 2014 and the preceding inquiry and report of the Waitangi Tribunal into claims located in the Te Urewera inquiry district.

["To Work Out Their Own Salvation': Māori Constitutionalism and the Quest for Welfare"](#) 

(2015) 46 VUWLR

[Victoria University of Wellington Legal Research Paper No. 120/2015](#)

[MĀMARI STEPHENS](#), Victoria University of Wellington
Email: mamari.stephens@vuw.ac.nz

New Zealand recently celebrated 75 years of the implementation of the welfare state in 1938. While debate continues about the nature and effectiveness of state welfare provision, welfare is arguably a matter of constitutional concern in New Zealand. Further examination of New Zealand legal history also shows that the welfare of Māori is indeed a matter of deep constitutional concern to Māori, who have consistently sought legislative and extra-legislative ways to have public power used for broad Māori welfare concerns. It is possible to identify a kind of Māori welfare constitutionalism at work, that is arguably in tension with the thinking and practice that produced the welfare state.

[^top](#)

About this eJournal

Victoria University of Wellington Legal Research Papers Series primarily contains scholarly papers by members of the [Faculty of Law at Victoria University of Wellington](#). Some issues collect a number of papers on a similar theme to form a suite of papers on a single topic. Others issues are general or distribute mainly recent work.

The Student/Alumni Series is a subseries of the Victoria University of Wellington Legal Research Paper Series. The subseries started in 2015 and publishes papers by students and alumni of Victoria University of Wellington, comprising primarily work for honours and postgraduate courses. Papers are collected into thematic or general issues.

The Victoria University of Wellington was founded in 1899 to mark the Diamond Jubilee of the reign of Queen Victoria of Great Britain and of the then British Empire. Law teaching started in 1900. The Law Faculty was formally constituted in 1907. The first dean was Richard Maclaurin (1870-1920), an eminent scholar of both law and mathematics. Maclaurin went on to lead the Massachusetts Institute of Technology as President in its formative years. Early professors included Sir John Salmond (1862-1924), still one of the Common Law's leading scholars. His texts on jurisprudence and torts have gone through many editions and remain in print.

Alumni include Sir Robin Cooke (1926-2006), one of the leading judges of the British Commonwealth. As Baron Cooke of Thorndon, he sat on over 100 appeals to the Appellate Committee of the House of Lords, one of very few Commonwealth judges ever appointed to do so.

Since 1996 the Law School has occupied the Old Government Building in central Wellington. Designed by William Clayton and opened in 1876 to house New Zealand's then civil service, the building is a particularly fine example of Italianate neo-Renaissance style. Unusually among large colonial official buildings of the time it is constructed of wood, apart from chimneys and vaults.

The School is close to New Zealand's Parliament, courts, and the headquarters of government departments. Throughout Victoria's history, our law teachers have contributed actively to policy formation and to law reform. As a result, in addition to many scholarly articles and books, the Victoria SSRN pages include a number of official reports.

Victoria graduates approximately 230 LLB and LLB(Hons) students each year, and about 60 LLM students. The faculty has an increasing number of doctoral students. Ordinarily there are ten to twelve students engaged in PhD research.

Victoria University observes the British system of academic ranks. In North American terms, lecturers and senior lecturers are tenured doctrinal scholars, not legal writing teachers. A senior lecturer corresponds approximately to a North American associate professor in rank.

Submissions

To submit your research to SSRN, sign in to the [SSRN User Headquarters](#), click the My Papers link on left menu and then the Start New Submission button at top of page.

Distribution Services

If your organization is interested in increasing readership for its research by starting a Research Paper Series, or sponsoring a Subject Matter eJournal, please email: RPS@SSRN.com

Distributed by

Legal Scholarship Network (LSN), a division of Social Science Electronic Publishing (SSEP) and Social Science Research Network (SSRN)

Directors

LAW SCHOOL RESEARCH PAPERS - LEGAL STUDIES

BERNARD S. BLACK

Northwestern University - School of Law, Northwestern University - Kellogg School of Management, European Corporate Governance Institute (ECGI)

Email: bblack@northwestern.edu

RONALD J. GILSON

Stanford Law School, Columbia Law School, European Corporate Governance Institute (ECGI)

Email: rgilson@leland.stanford.edu

Please contact us at the above addresses with your comments, questions or suggestions for LSN-LEG.

[^top](#)

Subscription Management

You can change your journal subscriptions by logging into [SSRN User HQ](#). If you have questions or problems with this process, please email Support@SSRN.com or call 877-SSRNHelp (877.777.6435 or 585.442.8170). Outside of the United States, call 00+1+585+4428170.

Site Subscription Membership

Many university departments and other institutions have purchased site subscriptions covering all of the eJournals in a particular network. If you want to subscribe to any of the SSRN eJournals, you may be able to do so without charge by first checking to see if your institution currently has a site subscription.

To do this please click on any of the following URLs. Instructions for joining the site are included on these pages.

- [Accounting Research Network](#)
- [Cognitive Science Network](#)
- [Corporate Governance Network](#)
- [Economics Research Network](#)
- [Entrepreneurship Research & Policy Network](#)
- [Financial Economics Network](#)
- [Health Economics Network](#)
- [Information Systems & eBusiness Network](#)
- [Legal Scholarship Network](#)
- [Management Research Network](#)
- [Political Science Network](#)
- [Social Insurance Research Network](#)
- [Classics Research Network](#)
- [English & American Literature Research Network](#)
- [Philosophy Research Network](#)

If your institution or department is not listed as a site, we would be happy to work with you to set one up. Please contact site@ssrn.com for more information.

Individual Membership (for those not covered by a site subscription)

Join a site subscription, request a trial subscription, or purchase a subscription within the SSRN User Headquarters: <http://www.ssrn.com/subscribe>

Financial Hardship

If you are undergoing financial hardship and believe you cannot pay for an eJournal, please send a detailed explanation to Subscribe@SSRN.com

[^top](#)

To ensure delivery of this eJournal, please add **LSN@publish.ssrn.com (Prebble/van Oeveren)** to your email contact list. If you are missing an issue or are having any problems with your subscription, please Email Support@ssrn.com or call 877-SSRNHELP (877.777.6435 or 585.442.8170).

FORWARDING & REDISTRIBUTION

Subscriptions to the journal are for single users. You may forward a particular eJournal issue, or an excerpt from an issue, to an individual or individuals who might be interested in it. It is a violation of copyright to redistribute this eJournal on a recurring basis to another person or persons, without the permission of Social Science Electronic Publishing, Inc. For information about individual subscriptions and site subscriptions, please contact us at Site@SSRN.com

[^top](#)

Copyright © 2015 Social Science Electronic Publishing, Inc. All Rights Reserved